Claims 117-127, 129-130, 132-134, 137-147, 149-151 and 154-196 are pending. By this Amendment, claim 189 is amended.

•

Reconsideration based on the following remarks is respectfully requested.

The February 23, 2007 Final Rejection rejects claims 145, 178, 179-191 and 193-196

under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) over Sauer (U.S. Patent No. 5,624,428), and claims 117-127, 129,

130, 133, 134, 137-144, 146, 147, 149-151 and 155-177 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over

Sauer. These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Regarding independent claims 117, 129, 146, 163, 168, 173 and 179, Sauer does

not disclose or even suggest an absorbent article including, inter alia, hook fasteners that

are permanently and directly attached to the outer surface of the back waist portion.

Instead, Sauer discloses an absorbent article having an expansion member 46 that is

permanently attached to the inner surface of the rear portion 24 of the absorbent article.

See FIG. 2 of Sauer.

The Final Rejection asserts that it would have been a matter of obvious design choice

to permanently attach the expansion member 46 of Sauer to the outer surface of the back

waist portion, instead of the inner surface, to arrive at the claimed invention. However,

permanently attaching the expansion member 46 to the outer surface of the back waist

portion would result in an absorbent article which, once placed around the wearer's waist,

would have extremely bulky sides, since such a configuration would require each lateral

Page 29 of 32

394090.1

**EFS** 

Confirmation No.: 1294

Appl. No. 10/646,937

Applicant: Hamzeh Karami

Amdt, dated March 24, 2008

edge of the back waist region to be curled around itself in order for the primary fastener to

be disposed in face to face relation with the front waist region (see attached figure,

showing the Examiner's proposed modification to Sauer). One having ordinary skill in the

art would simply have no motivation to make such a modification to Sauer in light of the

resulting bulkiness. The Examiner asserts that motivation exists in the desire to reduce

irritation to the wearer. However, it is not clear how such a modification would reduce

irritation, since even with no modification, the fasteners of Sauer are not in contact with

the wearer's skin.

Regarding independent claims 145 and 178, Sauer does not disclose or even suggest

an absorbent article including, inter alia, a third prefolded nonwoven connector and a

fourth nonwoven connector, where the first prefolded nonwoven connector is releasably

preengaged with the third prefolded nonwoven connector and the second prefolded

nonwoven connector is releasably preengaged with the fourth prefolded nonwoven

connector.

Instead, Sauer discloses the use of only two connectors (expansion members 46) that

connect the rear portion 24 to the front portion 22. The Final Rejection asserts that Sauer

teaches that the front waist region can alternatively have separate fasteners (see col. 7,

lines 30-32 of Sauer) in addition to the disclosed expansion members 46. However, these

"separate fasteners" are not disclosed as being pre-folded, as required by the claims, but

instead separate patch-like fasteners are suggested.

Page 30 of 32

394090.1

**EFS** 

Confirmation No.: 1294

Appl. No. 10/646,937

Applicant: Hamzeh Karami

Amdt. dated March 24, 2008

Further, the Advisory Action indicates that claims 145 and 178 are allowable over

the Sauer patent.

Regarding independent claim 189, Sauer does not disclose or even suggest an

absorbent article including, inter alia, a second portion of the prefolded unitary nonwoven

connector being attached permanently to the inner surface of the back waist portion so as

to cover the full width of the back waist portion from the first lateral end of the back waist

portion to the second lateral end of the back waist portion.

Instead, Sauer discloses connectors 46 that are only attached to the lateral ends of

the waist potions, and which do not extend from one lateral end of the waist potion to the

other lateral end.

For at least these reasons, it is respectfully submitted that claims 117, 129, 145, 146,

163, 168, 173, 178, 179 and 189 are in condition for allowance. The dependent claims are

also in condition for allowance for the reasons discussed as well as for the additional

features they recite.

Page 31 of 32

394090.1

**EFS** 

Confirmation No.: 1294 Appl. No. 10/646,937 Applicant: Hamzeh Karami Amdt. dated March 24, 2008

If any fee is deemed necessary to preserve the pendency of the subject application, authorization is hereby given to charge any such fee to Deposit Account No. 01-1785.

Respectfully submitted

AMSTER, ROTHSTEIN & EBENSTEIN LLP Attorneys for Applicant 90 Park Avenue New York, NY 10016 (212) 336-8000

Dated: March 24, 2008

New York, New York

By: <u>/Benjamin M. Halpern</u>
Benjamin M. Halpern, Reg. No. 46,494

## "MULTI-FOLD FASTENING SYSTEM" PATENT APPLICATION (34304/119)

## -EXAMINER'S PROPOSED MODIFICATION TO SAUER PATENT-

